

Details of Representations Received

	OBJECTION	OFFICER COMMENTS
1.	<p>RESIDENT OF TRENTHOLME DRIVE</p> <p>I wish to raise an objection regarding the parking scheme which 'for administrative purposes' has been given the existing R59 scheme on Trentholme Drive. This is a totally different street on the opposite side of the main highway which requires its own scheme number. Due to the physical nature of the layout of Trentholme Drive NO FURTHER TRAFFIC should be allowed permission for parking - if not residents of that street. Such is the layout of Trentholme Drive; access is difficult enough without additional overspill from other streets in the vicinity.</p>	<p>St Aubyn's Place is a small cul-de-sac of 25 properties. Trentholme Drive is a no-through road crescent of 44 properties.</p> <p>There are currently 10 household Resident Parking permits issued for R59: Trentholme Drive. A further 25 properties have authorisation cards to purchase visitor permits.</p> <p>There are 25 properties on St Aubyn's Place and as all properties on street have an off-street parking amenity we expect that not many occupants will require a Household permit, most will only use the facility for visitor parking.</p> <p>Consequently we do not anticipate that residents of St Aubyn's Place will overspill onto Trentholme Drive unless parking is prevented on their own street for utility or highway works.</p>
2.	<p>RESIDENT OF ST AUBYN'S PLACE</p> <p>I would like to confirm my earlier suggestion of one side parking on alternate days as an alternative scheme.</p> <p>The width of the road has not been considered as it is too narrow to accommodate parking on both sides of the</p>	<p>There is no practicable way of introducing this suggestion; the resulting regulatory signage would be very large, have to be placed every 30m on both sides of the street and provide what would be a visual intrusion.</p>

<p>carriageway. When this occurs the refuse wagon cannot pass.</p> <p>Cars park on the footpath causing pedestrians to walk in the road. I am surprised you have condoned this as I thought it was illegal.</p> <p>I would like to know if you will take any action on these points.</p>	<p>The petition was raised because the majority of parking on St Aubyn's Place is not resident related.</p> <p>This scheme will remove a significant proportion of the parking taking place on a daily basis. St Aubyn's Place is a convenient parking place for shoppers and guests of nearby Hotels.</p> <p>The area will be monitored after the scheme is implemented to ascertain whether instances of obstructive parking are taking place. At this time small sections of waiting restrictions could be considered if necessary.</p> <p>Vehicles parking on the footway may still take place after the scheme is introduced, but they will require a permit and consequently such parking will be reduced and resident related. Any vehicle without displaying a permit during the operational hours can receive a penalty charge notice.</p> <p>Currently, the cul-de-sac is unrestricted and enforcement of obstructive parking on carriageway and footway can only be enforced by North Yorkshire Police.</p>
--	--